

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,582	11/24/2003	Yakov E. Kutsovsky	02019CON	5049	
Michelle B. La	7590 02/20/2007 ndo		EXAM	INER	
157 Concord Road			WARTALOWICZ, PAUL A		
Billerica, MA 01821-7001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1754		
		,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/720,582	KUTSOVSKY, YAKOV E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Paul A. Wartalowicz	1754		

	Paul A. Wartalowicz	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this and event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of expunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 106.07(f). It is on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing date.	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F 136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee iate extension fee ce action: or (2) a
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: The rejections are maintained as set forth in the 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	STEVEN BO	3 or
		PRIMARY EXAN GROUP 110	MINER 10

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding arguments drawn to the Lewis reference, the claim is not limited to the liquid feed of the porcess atomized as a result of injecting the stream of the liquid feedstock into the stream of combustion gas. Therefore, the disclosure of Lewis still meets the claim. Regarding arguments drawn to the Rohr patent, even though atomization is not explicitly disclosed, the liquid precursor and combustion gas are atomized with a flame such that Rohr inherently teaches the limitation of atomization. Regarding arguments drawn to the Hung reference, the rejection does not rely upon if examples 1-3 are combinable with example 4. Additionally, as pointed out in the final rejection, the claims are not specific as to when the steps occur with respect to one another in any precise manner. Hung is not limited to any one embodiment (eg. example 4). The rejection over Hung is maintained.